### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHMAN, BERENBAUM & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.; CHARLES I. RICHMAN; BLAKE L. BERENBAUM and ELLIS COOK,	) ) )		
Plaintiffs	)	No.	02-CV-3195
VS.	)		
CAROLINA CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY; and REUBEN KLUGMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE REUBEN KLUGMAN TRUST,	) ) ) )		
Defendants	)		

#### CAROLINA'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE AFFIDAVIT OF GERALD KLUGMAN.

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff, Carolina Casualty Insurance Corporation ("Carolina"), hereby moves to strike the Affidavit of Gerald Klugman because: (1) Klugman's testimony is barred because Klugman was not disclosed as a potential witness pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.26; and (2) Klugman's affidavit contains inadmissible testimony. In support thereof, Carolina submits the attached Memorandum of Law In Support of Carolina's Motion To Strike The Affidavit Of Gerald Klugman.

WHEREFORE, Carolina Casualty Company respectfully requests that this Honorable

Court strike the Affidavit of Gerald Klugman, and award such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER,

**COLEMAN & GOGGIN** 

By:		
	Steven I Polansky Esquire	

Steven J. Polansky, Esquire PA I.D. #34758 Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 200 Lake Drive East, Suite 300 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002

1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-4797 (856) 414-6014

Jeffrey A. Goldwater, Esq. Michelle M. Bracke, Esq. BOLLINGER, RUBERRY & GARVEY 500 West Madison Street, Suite 2300 Chicago, Illinois 60661-2511 (312) 466-8000

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHMAN, BERENBAUM & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.; CHARLES I. RICHMAN; BLAKE L. BERENBAUM and ELLIS COOK,	) ) )		
Plaintiffs	)	No.	02-CV-3195
vs.	)		
CAROLINA CASUALTY INSURANCE	)		
COMPANY; and REUBEN KLUGMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF	)		
THE REUBEN KLUGMAN TRUST,	)		
Defendants	)		

# CAROLINA'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STRIKE THE AFFIDAVIT OF GERALD KLUGMAN.

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff, Carolina Casualty Insurance Corporation ("Carolina"), for its Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion to Strike the Affidavit of Gerald Klugman, hereby states as follows:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

As detailed below, Richman, Berenbaum's failure to serve Rule 26 disclosures should preclude Richman, Berenbaum from now presenting any witnesses in defense of Carolina's counterclaim. Accordingly, as Gerald Klugman's testimony cannot be admissible at trial, such testimony should not be considered for summary judgment purposes. Alternatively, Gerald Klugman's affidavit, or at least portions of the affidavit, should be stricken because the affidavit cannot meet the requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 56.

#### II. BACKGROUND

The pending action is a declaratory action filed by Richman, Berenbaum & Associates, L.L.C., Charles I. Richman, Blake L. Berenbaum, and Ellis Cook (hereinafter, collectively "Richman, Berenbaum") on April 17, 2003.

On May 30, 2002, Carolina filed a counterclaim, seeking a ruling as to whether Carolina has any duty to defend or indemnify Richman, Berenbaum for an underlying claim made against them by Reuben Klugman ("Klugman"). Richman, Berenbaum did not file an answer to Carolina's counterclaim, nor otherwise assert any affirmative defenses. Richman, Berenbaum also did not serve their mandatory disclosures under Fed.R.Civ.P. 26.

On March 11, 2003, Carolina filed its motion for summary judgment, seeking a ruling that the Klugman claim is outside the scope of the Insuring Agreement of the Carolina Policy. The precise issue before this Court is whether, prior to policy inception, Richman, Berenbaum knew of acts, errors or omissions that Richman, Berenbaum also knew, or could have reasonably foreseen, might be the basis of a claim. One document submitted in support of the motion for summary judgment is an April 21, 1999 letter sent to Ellis Cook, Esq. from Reed Bryan, Esq., counsel for Reuben Klugman, wherein Bryan places Cook on notice of a potential claim against Cook by Reuben Klugman for professional negligence.

In response to Carolina's motion, Richman, Berenbaum offered the affidavit of Reuben Klugman's son, Gerald Klugman. In the affidavit, Gerald Klugman testifies regarding the alleged subjective thoughts, emotions, and intentions of a third party, Reuben Klugman. Gerald Klugman also testifies regarding alleged statements made by Klugman to him, and to another third party, Ellis Cook. *See Affidavit*. Richman, Berenbaum did not obtain an affidavit from

the alleged declarant and subject of the testimony, Reuben Klugman and, indeed, has advised the Court that it cannot obtain the declarant's testimony. Richman, Berenbaum offers this testimony to demonstrate that, in fact, Klugman did not intend the letter to be a notice of potential claim. *See Richman, Berenbaum Memorandum, Statement of Facts*, ¶7-8.

#### III. ARGUMENT

Fed.R.Civ.P. 37 (c) (1) provides that a party that, without substantial justification, fails to disclose information required by Rule 26(a) or 26(e)(1) shall not, unless such failure is harmless, be permitted to use as evidence at a trial, at a hearing, or on a motion any witness or information not so disclosed.

Richman, Berenbaum failed to comply with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26, and never disclosed any witnesses, or other evidence to Carolina, and did not advise Carolina of its defenses to Carolina's counterclaim. Instead, Richman, Berenbaum waited until the discovery period closed, and dispositive motions were filed, and now seeks to introduce new witness testimony by way of affidavit. Richman, Berenbaum did not file a motion for leave, or otherwise offer any justification for its failure to comply with Rule 26, or even to answer Carolina's counterclaim. Accordingly, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 37, Richman, Berenbaum should not be permitted to use such witness testimony, and the affidavit of Gerald Klugman should be stricken from the record. See, e.g., Protocomm Corp. v. Novell Advanced Services, no. CIV.A. 93-0518, 1997 WL 83731 (E.D.Pa. Feb. 26, 1997).

Alternatively, the affidavit should also be stricken because it cannot meet the requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 56. Affidavits opposing summary judgment motions must be made on personal knowledge, must set forth facts admissible in evidence, and must show affirmatively

that the affiant is competent as to testify as the matters stated therein. Fed.R.Civ.P. 56 (e). Therefore, portions of affidavits containing inadmissible hearsay, or other inadmissible evidence, should be disregarded. *See, e.g., Keating v. Bucks County Water & Sewer*, no. CIV.A.99-1584, 2000 WL 1888770 (E.D.Pa. Dec. 29, 2000); *Bowdoin v. Oriel*, no. CIV.A. 98-5539, 2000 WL 134800 (E.D.Pa. Jan. 28, 2000).

Gerald Klugman's affidavit contains testimony regarding alleged out-of-court statements by a third party, Reuben Klugman, offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted. Gerald Klugman also offers testimony regarding the subjective intent, beliefs, emotions, and mental state of a third party, although Gerald Klugman is not competent to testify as to these matters. This testimony could not be presented at trial through direct testimony because it is Reuben Klugman, and not Gerald Klugman, that is the alleged declarant, and subject of the testimony. Accordingly, Carolina submits that the affidavit does not set forth facts that could be admissible at trial, and should be stricken. *See, e.g., Kapetanovich v. Rockwell In'tl, Inc.*, no. 92-3018, 1994 WL 530912 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. July 15, 1992).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, Carolina Casualty Insurance Company respectfully requests that this Honorable Court strike the affidavit of Gerald Klugman.

Respectfully submitted:

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By:_		
	Steven J. Polansky, Esquire	

Steven J. Polansky, Esquire PA I.D. #34758 Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 200 Lake Drive East, Suite 300 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002

1845 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-4797 (856) 414-6014

Jeffrey A. Goldwater, Esq. Michelle M. Bracke, Esq. BOLLINGER, RUBERRY & GARVEY 500 West Madison Street, Suite 2300 Chicago, Illinois 60661-2511 (312) 466-8000

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.; CHARLES I. RICHMAN; BLAKE L. BERENBAUM; and ELLIS COOK,	) ) )
Plaintiffs	No. 02-CV-3195
VS.	
CAROLINA CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY; REUBEN KLUGMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE REUBEN KLUGMAN TRUST; and JEFFREY L. RUDNICK;  Defendants	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
	ORDER
AND NOW, on this day of	, 2003, upon
consideration of Defendant Carolina Cas	ualty Insurance Company's Motion to Strike the
Affidavit of Gerald Klugman, Esquire;	
It is hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that the th	his Honorable Court strike the Affidavit of Gerald
Klugman, Esquire and such other relief as th	ne Court deems appropriate.
	BY THE COURT:
	J.